

We have to prove:

$$\forall_X \text{IsSorted}[X] \Rightarrow \left(\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, X]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[X])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, X]] \right) \quad (\text{insert-sorted})$$

under the assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{IsSorted}[\epsilon], & (\text{sorted-empty-tree}) \\ & \forall_X X \leq \epsilon, & (\text{smaller-than-empty-tree}) \\ & \forall_X \epsilon \leq X, & (\text{empty-tree-smaller-than}) \\ & \forall_{L,a,R} \forall \text{IsSorted}[\langle L, a, R \rangle] \Leftrightarrow (\text{IsSorted}[L] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[R] \wedge (L \leq a) \wedge (a \leq R)), & (\text{sorted-tree}) \\ & \forall_{L,a,R,b} \forall (\langle L, a, R \rangle \leq b) \Leftrightarrow ((L \leq b) \wedge (a \leq b) \wedge (R \leq b)), & (\text{tree-less-elem}) \\ & \forall_{L,a,R,b} \forall (b \leq \langle L, a, R \rangle) \Leftrightarrow ((b \leq L) \wedge (b \leq a) \wedge (b \leq R)), & (\text{elem-less-tree}) \\ & \forall_{a,X,b} \forall (\text{Insert}[a, X] \leq b) \Leftrightarrow ((a \leq b) \wedge (X \leq b)), & (\text{insert-less-than}) \\ & \forall_{a,X,b} \forall (b \leq \text{Insert}[a, X]) \Leftrightarrow ((b \leq a) \wedge (b \leq X)), & (\text{elem-less-insert}) \\ & \forall_{L,a,R} \forall \mathcal{M}[\langle L, a, R \rangle] = (\mathcal{M}[L] \uplus \{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R]), & (\text{full-tree-multiset-direct}) \\ & \forall_{L,a,R} \forall \mathcal{M}[\langle L, a, R \rangle] = (\mathcal{M}[R] \uplus \{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L]), & (\text{full-tree-multiset-reverse}) \\ & \forall_a \mathcal{M}[\langle \epsilon, a, \epsilon \rangle] = \{\{a\}\}, & (\text{unit-tree-multiset}) \\ & \mathcal{M}[\epsilon] = \emptyset, & (\text{empty-tree-multiset}) \\ & \forall_A (A \uplus \emptyset) = A, & (\text{empty-multiset-in-union}) \\ & \forall_{A,B,C} \forall ((A \uplus B) \uplus C) = (A \uplus (B \uplus C)), & (\text{union-associativity}) \\ & \forall_{A,B} \forall (A \uplus B) = (B \uplus A). & (\text{union-commutativity}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof by algorithm constructor.

For proving the universal goal (insert-sorted), take $X0$ a.b.f. and prove:

$$\text{IsSorted}[X0] \Rightarrow \left(\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, X0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[X0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, X0]] \right). \quad (\text{G\#163})$$

For proving (G#163), use alternatively the cover set $\{\epsilon, \langle L, a, R \rangle\}$ or no cover set for the Skolem constant $X0$.

△ Alternative 1: cover set $\{\epsilon, \langle L, a, R \rangle\}$.

Cover set cases:

△ Case 1: $X0 = \epsilon$. The goal becomes:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\epsilon] \Rightarrow \left(\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, \epsilon]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[\epsilon])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, \epsilon]] \right). \quad (\text{G\#163.1})$$

Implicative goal (G#163.1) is split. Assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\epsilon], \quad (\text{A\#182})$$

and prove:

$$\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, \epsilon]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[\epsilon])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, \epsilon]], \quad (\text{G\#183})$$

For proving the universal goal (G#183), take $a0$ a.b.f. and prove:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[\epsilon])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon]] . \quad (\text{G\#201})$$

Using "empty-tree-multiset", the goal (G#201) is simplified to:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \emptyset)) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon]] . \quad (\text{G\#202})$$

Using "empty-multiset-in-union", the goal (G#202) is simplified to:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon]] = \{\{a0\}\}) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon]] . \quad (\text{G\#203})$$

Using goal (G#203), the solution is: $\text{Insert}[a0, \epsilon] == \langle \epsilon, a0, \epsilon \rangle$, and the goal is reduced to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle \epsilon, a0, \epsilon \rangle] . \quad (\text{G\#204})$$

Using "sorted-tree", the goal (G#204) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\epsilon] \wedge (\epsilon \leq a0) \wedge (a0 \leq \epsilon) . \quad (\text{G\#205})$$

Using "A#182", the goal (G#205) is simplified to:

$$(\epsilon \leq a0) \wedge (a0 \leq \epsilon) . \quad (\text{G\#206})$$

Using "empty-tree-smaller-than", the goal (G#206) is simplified to:

$$a0 \leq \epsilon . \quad (\text{G\#207})$$

Using "smaller-than-empty-tree", the goal (G#207) is true: success:

△ Case 2: $X0 = \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle$ with a.b.f. $L0$, $a0$, and $R0$. The goal becomes:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] \Rightarrow \left(\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[\langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] \right) . \quad (\text{G\#163.2})$$

Implicative goal (G#163.2) is split. Assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] , \quad (\text{A\#209})$$

and prove:

$$\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[\langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] , \quad (\text{G\#210})$$

The assumption (A#209) is simplified using "sorted-tree" to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[R0] \wedge (L0 \leq a0) \wedge (a0 \leq R0) \wedge (L0 \leq R0) . \quad (\text{A\#227})$$

For proving the universal goal (G#210), take $\bar{a}1$ a.b.f. and prove:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a}1, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\{\{\bar{a}1\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[\langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a}1, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] . \quad (\text{G\#245})$$

Using "full-tree-multiset-direct", the goal (G#245) is expanded to:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a}1, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\{\{\bar{a}1\}\} \uplus (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0] \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]))) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a}1, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] . \quad (\text{G\#341})$$

Using "union-associativity-left", the goal (G#341) is simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0] \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \right) \wedge \\ \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#342})$$

The conjunction (A#227) is split into:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0], \quad (\text{A\#227.1})$$

$$\text{IsSorted}[R0], \quad (\text{A\#227.2})$$

$$L0 \leq a0, \quad (\text{A\#227.3})$$

$$a0 \leq R0, \quad (\text{A\#227.4})$$

$$L0 \leq R0. \quad (\text{A\#227.5})$$

For proving (G#342), use alternatively the pairs of multisets $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 4 \rangle$, and $\langle 2, 4 \rangle$.

△ Alternative 1, pair $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$: $\{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]$.

By "union-associativity" and "union-commutativity", the goal becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]) \uplus \{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0] \right) \wedge \\ \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#442})$$

By Noetherian induction, using $L0 < X0$, assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0] \Rightarrow \left(\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]] \right), \quad (\text{A\#446})$$

from which by (A#227.1) follows:

$$\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]], \quad (\text{A\#447})$$

which is instantiated to:

$$\left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] = (\{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]) \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]], \quad (\text{A\#448})$$

and the goal becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] \uplus \{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \right) \wedge \\ \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#449})$$

The goal (G#449) is simplified using several alternatives.

△ Using full-tree-multiset-direct, the goal (G#449) is simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = \mathcal{M}[\langle \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0], a0, R0 \rangle] \right) \wedge \\ \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#450})$$

Using goal (G#450), the solution is: $\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] == \langle \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0], a0, R0 \rangle$, and the goal is reduced to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0], a0, R0 \rangle]. \quad (\text{G\#590})$$

Using "sorted-tree", the goal (G#590) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[R0] \wedge (\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0] \leq a0) \wedge (a0 \leq R0). \quad (\text{G\#591})$$

Using "A#227.4", the goal (G#591) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[R0] \wedge (\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0] \leq a0). \quad (\text{G\#592})$$

Using "A#227.2", the goal (G#592) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] \wedge (\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0] \leq a0). \quad (\text{G\#593})$$

Using "insert-less-elem", the goal (G#593) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] \wedge ((\bar{a1} \leq a0) \wedge (L0 \leq a0)). \quad (\text{G\#594})$$

Using "A#227.3", the goal (G#594) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] \wedge (\bar{a1} \leq a0). \quad (\text{G\#595})$$

The conjunction (A#448) is split into:

$$\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]] = (\{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]), \quad (\text{A\#448.1})$$

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0]]. \quad (\text{A\#448.2})$$

Using "A#448.2", the goal (G#595) is simplified to:

$$\bar{a1} \leq a0. \quad (\text{G\#645})$$

The goal is used as a condition in the following clause of the algorithm:

$$(\bar{a1} \leq a0) \Rightarrow (\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0], a0, R0 \rangle). \quad (\text{A\#646})$$

Success.

△ Using full-tree-multiset-reverse, the goal (G#449) is simplified to:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = \mathcal{M}[\langle R0, a0, \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0] \rangle]) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \quad (\text{G\#451})$$

The solution $\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle R0, a0, \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, L0] \rangle$ is not admissible because $R0$ and $L0$ are in the wrong order according to (A#227.5)

△ Alternative 2, pair $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$: $\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]$.

By "union-associativity" and "union-commutativity", the goal becomes:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = ((\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]) \uplus \{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \quad (\text{G\#443})$$

By Noetherian induction, using $L0 < X0$, assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0] \Rightarrow (\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]]), \quad (\text{A\#647})$$

from which by (A#227.1) follows:

$$\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, L0]], \quad (\text{A\#648})$$

which is instantiated to:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]], \quad (\text{A\#649})$$

and the goal becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] \right) &= \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]] \uplus \{ \bar{a1} \} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0] \right) \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#650})$$

The goal (G#650) is simplified using several alternatives.

△ Using full-tree-multiset-direct, the goal (G#650) is simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] \right) &= \mathcal{M}[\langle \text{Insert}[a0, L0], \bar{a1}, R0 \rangle] \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#651})$$

Using goal (G#651), the solution is: $\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle \text{Insert}[a0, L0], \bar{a1}, R0 \rangle$, and the goal is reduced to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle \text{Insert}[a0, L0], \bar{a1}, R0 \rangle]. \quad (\text{G\#791})$$

Using "sorted-tree", the goal (G#791) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[R0] \wedge (\text{Insert}[a0, L0] \leq \bar{a1}) \wedge (\bar{a1} \leq R0). \quad (\text{G\#792})$$

Using "A#227.2", the goal (G#792) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]] \wedge (\text{Insert}[a0, L0] \leq \bar{a1}) \wedge (\bar{a1} \leq R0). \quad (\text{G\#793})$$

Using "insert-less-elem", the goal (G#793) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]] \wedge ((a0 \leq \bar{a1}) \wedge (L0 \leq \bar{a1})) \wedge (\bar{a1} \leq R0). \quad (\text{G\#794})$$

The conjunction (A#649) is split into:

$$\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]] = (\{ \{a0\} \} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]), \quad (\text{A\#649.1})$$

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, L0]]. \quad (\text{A\#649.2})$$

Using "A#649.2", the goal (G#794) is simplified to:

$$((a0 \leq \bar{a1}) \wedge (L0 \leq \bar{a1})) \wedge (\bar{a1} \leq R0). \quad (\text{G\#844})$$

Proof fails.

△ Using full-tree-multiset-reverse, the goal (G#650) is simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] \right) &= \mathcal{M}[\langle R0, \bar{a1}, \text{Insert}[a0, L0] \rangle] \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#652})$$

The solution $\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle R0, \bar{a1}, \text{Insert}[a0, L0] \rangle$ is not admissible because $R0$ and $L0$ are in the wrong order according to (A#227.5)

△ Alternative 3, pair $\langle 1, 4 \rangle$: $\{ \{ \bar{a1} \} \} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]$.

By "union-associativity" and "union-commutativity", the goal becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] \right) &= ((\{ \{ \bar{a1} \} \} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \uplus \{ \{a0\} \} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]) \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#444})$$

By Noetherian induction, using $R0 < X0$, assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[R0] \Rightarrow \left(\forall_a \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]] = (\{a\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]] \right), \quad (\text{A\#845})$$

from which by (A#227.2) follows:

$$\forall_a \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]] = (\{a\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]], \quad (\text{A\#846})$$

which is instantiated to:

$$\left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] = (\{\overline{a1}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]], \quad (\text{A\#847})$$

and the goal becomes:

$$\left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = \left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] \uplus \{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0] \right) \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \quad (\text{G\#848})$$

The goal (G#848) is simplified using several alternatives.

△ Using full-tree-multiset-direct, the goal (G#848) is simplified to:

$$\left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = \mathcal{M}[\langle \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0], a0, L0 \rangle] \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \quad (\text{G\#849})$$

The solution $\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0], a0, L0 \rangle$ is not admissible because $R0$ and $L0$ are in the wrong order according to (A#227.5)

△ Using full-tree-multiset-reverse, the goal (G#848) is simplified to:

$$\left(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]] = \mathcal{M}[\langle L0, a0, \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0] \rangle] \right) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \quad (\text{G\#850})$$

Using goal (G#850), the solution is: $\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle L0, a0, \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0] \rangle$, and the goal is reduced to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle L0, a0, \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0] \rangle]. \quad (\text{G\#989})$$

Using "sorted-tree", the goal (G#989) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] \wedge (L0 \leq a0) \wedge (a0 \leq \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]). \quad (\text{G\#990})$$

Using "A#227.3", the goal (G#990) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] \wedge (a0 \leq \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]). \quad (\text{G\#991})$$

Using "A#227.1", the goal (G#991) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] \wedge (a0 \leq \text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]). \quad (\text{G\#992})$$

Using "elem-less-insert", the goal (G#992) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] \wedge ((a0 \leq \overline{a1}) \wedge (a0 \leq R0)). \quad (\text{G\#993})$$

Using "A#227.4", the goal (G#993) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\overline{a1}, R0]] \wedge (a0 \leq \overline{a1}). \quad (\text{G\#994})$$

The conjunction (A#847) is split into:

$$\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, R0]] = (\{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]), \quad (\text{A\#847.1})$$

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, R0]]. \quad (\text{A\#847.2})$$

Using "A#847.2", the goal (G#994) is simplified to:

$$a0 \leq \bar{a1}. \quad (\text{G\#1044})$$

The goal is used as a condition in the following clause of the algorithm:

$$(a0 \leq \bar{a1}) \Rightarrow (\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle L0, a0, \text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, R0] \rangle). \quad (\text{A\#1045})$$

Success.

△ Alternative 4, pair (2, 4): $\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]$.

By "union-associativity" and "union-commutativity", the goal becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]) &= ((\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]) \uplus \{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]) \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#445})$$

By Noetherian induction, using $R0 < X0$, assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[R0] \Rightarrow (\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]]), \quad (\text{A\#1046})$$

from which by (A#227.2) follows:

$$\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, R0]], \quad (\text{A\#1047})$$

which is instantiated to:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]], \quad (\text{A\#1048})$$

and the goal becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]) &= (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]] \uplus \{\{\bar{a1}\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[L0]) \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#1049})$$

The goal (G#1049) is simplified using several alternatives.

△ Using full-tree-multiset-direct, the goal (G#1049) is simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]) &= \mathcal{M}[\langle \text{Insert}[a0, R0], \bar{a1}, L0 \rangle] \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#1050})$$

The solution $\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle \text{Insert}[a0, R0], \bar{a1}, L0 \rangle$ is not admissible because $R0$ and $L0$ are in the wrong order according to (A#227.5)

△ Using full-tree-multiset-reverse, the goal (G#1049) is simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]) &= \mathcal{M}[\langle L0, \bar{a1}, \text{Insert}[a0, R0] \rangle] \wedge \\ &\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle]]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G\#1051})$$

Using goal (G#1051), the solution is: $\text{Insert}[\bar{a1}, \langle L0, a0, R0 \rangle] = \langle L0, \bar{a1}, \text{Insert}[a0, R0] \rangle$, and the goal is reduced to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\langle L0, \overline{a1}, \text{Insert}[a0, R0] \rangle]. \quad (\text{G\#1190})$$

Using "sorted-tree", the goal (G#1190) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[L0] \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]] \wedge (L0 \leq \overline{a1}) \wedge (\overline{a1} \leq \text{Insert}[a0, R0]). \quad (\text{G\#1191})$$

Using "A#227.1", the goal (G#1191) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]] \wedge (L0 \leq \overline{a1}) \wedge (\overline{a1} \leq \text{Insert}[a0, R0]). \quad (\text{G\#1192})$$

Using "elem-less-insert", the goal (G#1192) is simplified to:

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]] \wedge (L0 \leq \overline{a1}) \wedge ((\overline{a1} \leq a0) \wedge (\overline{a1} \leq R0)). \quad (\text{G\#1193})$$

The conjunction (A#1048) is split into:

$$\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[R0]), \quad (\text{A\#1048.1})$$

$$\text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, R0]]. \quad (\text{A\#1048.2})$$

Using "A#1048.2", the goal (G#1193) is simplified to:

$$(L0 \leq \overline{a1}) \wedge ((\overline{a1} \leq a0) \wedge (\overline{a1} \leq R0)). \quad (\text{G\#1243})$$

Proof fails (no applicable rule).

Goal:

△ Alternative 2: no cover set.

Implicative goal (G#163) is split. Assume:

$$\text{IsSorted}[X0], \quad (\text{A\#1244})$$

and prove:

$$\forall_a (\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a, X0]] = (\{\{a\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[X0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a, X0]], \quad (\text{G\#1245})$$

For proving the universal goal (G#1245), take $a0$ a.b.f. and prove:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, X0]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[X0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, X0]]. \quad (\text{G\#1262})$$

By "union-associativity" and "union-commutativity", the goal becomes:

$$(\mathcal{M}[\text{Insert}[a0, X0]] = (\{\{a0\}\} \uplus \mathcal{M}[X0])) \wedge \text{IsSorted}[\text{Insert}[a0, X0]]. \quad (\text{G\#1263})$$

Proof fails.